



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,220	10/30/2001	Kazutaka Ando	450100-03566	3009
20999	7590 07/22/2005	·	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. L, NY 10151	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		3621	,
			DATE MAIL ED 07/22/200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)]
	10/016,220	ANDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Jalatee Worjloh	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ways are possible to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-30,33 and 36 is/as 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 12-15, 25, 31,32, 34,35,and 37-3 7) Claim(s) 4-11,16-24 and 40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	re withdrawn from consid	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a nity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/016,220 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed April 11, 2005.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed April 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicants argue, "There is no another improvement information in Allen, as recited in claim 1." However, the examiner disagrees; notice, Allen discloses five different resolution levels that the consumer may select. These resolution levels are interpreted as another improvement information (see col. 5, lines 32-46).
- 4. Claims 1-25, 31, 32, 34, 35 and 37-40 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 13-15, 31,32, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6744891 to Allen.

Art Unit: 3621

Allen discloses reading means (i.e. customer terminal) for reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), improvement-information requesting means (i.e. customer terminal) for requesting another improvement information used for improving the quality of the data read by the reading means (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and quality improving means (i.e. data digital data processor) for improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data (see col. 5, lines 36-46).

Referring to claims 2, 14 and 38, Allen discloses the apparatus wherein the data is degraded data obtained by degrading the original data and the quality improving means can restore the original data from the degraded data according to at least the improvement information and the another improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19).

Referring to claims 3 and 15, Allen discloses the quality improving means make high-quality data of which the quality is improved by N+1 (N is a natural number) pieces of the improvement information and which is output have higher quality than high-quality data of which the quality is improved by N pieces of the improvement information and which is output (see col. 5, lines 32-46).

Referring to claim 13, Allen discloses reading means (i.e. customer terminal) for reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which

Art Unit: 3621

stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), improvement-information requesting means (i.e. customer terminal) for requesting another improvement information used for improving the quality of the data read by the reading means (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and quality improving means (i.e. data digital data processor) for improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request made by the improvement-information requesting means and for outputting high-quality data and improvement-information sending means (i.e. data digital data processor) for sending the improvement information to another information processing apparatus (see col. 5, lines 36-46 – customer may be provided with an option to select one of a number of different quality levels...still image data could be provided at one of five different resolution levels).

Referring to claims 31 and 34, Allen discloses reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), requesting another improvement information used for improving he quality of the read data (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request and outputting high-quality data (see col. 5, lines 36-46).

Referring to claims 32 and 35, Allen discloses reading data and improvement information (i.e. key for decrypting the data and thereby reconstruct an undegraded data) used for improving the quality of the data from a recording medium which stores the data and the improvement information (see col. 5, lines 4-19), requesting another improvement information used for

Art Unit: 3621

improving the quality of the read data (see col. 5, lines 32-35), and improving the quality of the data according to at least the improvement information and the another improvement information obtained according to a request and outputting high-quality data and sending the improvement information to another information processing apparatus (see col. 5, lines 36-46).

Referring to claim 37, Allen discloses data and improvement information for improving the quality of the data and for improving the quality of another data stored in another storage medium (see claim 1 above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen as applied to claim 1 above.

Allen discloses an improvement-information-request receiving means (i.e. digital data processor) for receiving an improvement-information request signal, improvement-information sending means (i.e. digital data processor) for sending the improvement information (see claim 1 above). Allen does not expressly disclose the signal indicating that anther information processing apparatus request the improvement information and sending the information to the another information processing apparatus according to an improvement-information request signal received by the improvement-information-request-signal receiving means. However, Allen's receiving and sending means are connected to a plurality of user terminal (see fig. 1),

Art Unit: 3621

which can all receive the improvement information. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include an improvement-information-request-signal for receiving a request signal indicating that another information processing apparatus requests the improvement information and sending the information. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because provides adequate notification to the receiving means thereby preventing unauthorized individuals from receiving the data.

Referring to claim 25, Allen discloses improvement information requesting means for requesting improvement information, wherein the improvement information request include the identification information of the data, the identification information of the identification information (see claim 13 above). Allen does not expressly disclose the improvement information includes the user identification information. However, this difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally in the step recited. The process of requesting information would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983): In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Allen to include user identification information in the request. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because of the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-11,16-24, 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jalatee Worjloh whose telephone number is 571-272-6714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays 8:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3621

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for Regular/After

Final Actions and (571)273-6714 for Non-Official/Draft.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jalatee Worjloh Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3621

July 19, 2005

John Soll

Page 8